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* Infrastructure Questions:
  1. Were there any specific reasons for choosing the individual pathology routes at your registry? (e.g. certain labs had certain technical requirements)

A.I.M. - Suggested and provided by SEER

PHIN MS - Heard of other SEER sites using PHIN MS to receive reports from facilities/labs already using PHIN MS. Our hope was to pick up HL7 records from a large Lubbock facility who was already on PHIN MS

* 1. Who reaches out to the labs at your registry or do the labs reach out to you?

Program Manager, Virginia Williams CTR

* 1. Are there any labs or hospitals that use multiple routes to send you pathology reports? (e.g. Hospital A sends data by sftp and through AIM)

No

* + 1. If so, can you provide the background to this setup
  1. Are there are restrictions in potentially changing from one pathology route to another?

SEER has invested in setting up interfaces between the lab software and TransMed

Should a change be made the interfaces may need to change again. Someone would need to pay for the change.

* 1. Are you currently considering any additional pathology routes or processes?

We’re always looking for new places to install epath but we are not currently looking for additional pathology routes or processes.

* 1. Are there any preferred pathology routes at your registry (in terms of efficiency or cost)?

A.I.M. E-path reporter

* Pathology Processing Questions
  1. How many Total Pathology Reports were received in 2017 (calendar year)

57,664

* 1. Of the total pathology reports in question #1 how many were:
     1. Electronic (please provide total number)

43,464

* + 1. Non-electronic (please provide total number)

14,200

* 1. Of the total pathology reports in question #1, how many of the reports are:
     1. Reportable

33,495

* + 1. Non-reportable

24,169

* 1. Of the pathology reports that were part of reportable cases in #3a how many were:
     1. Electronic

26,395

* + 1. Non-electronic

7,100

* 1. As of today, how many total cases are identified through pathology reports at your registry (%)

Timing of path report to case identification is not known.

* + - * 37 % of cases from all years currently in the registry have path reports attached to them
      * 34% of 2017 cases have path reports attached to them
  1. As of today, what is the proportion of histologically confirmed cases (CTCs) for which there is at least one pathology report.
     + - 40 % of histologically confirmed cases from all years currently in the registry have path reports attached to them
       - 36 % of 2017 histologically confirmed cases have path reports attached to them